Today's (1/11/92) Washington Post has a full page of letters relating to the Stone movie, "JFK." The longest, and rather long for a letter, is mine. I wrote it originally to the assistant editor of the editorial and oped pages, Stephen Rosenfeld, following an article he wrote. I knew it was too long but I wanted to inform him. When they decided to use it earlier this week someone in that department spoke to George Lardner. He started to indicate that I should shorten it. I asked him instead to do that, saying it made no difference to me what was omitted. (Which I do not now recall!) So, I presume he cut it to the length wanted.

I do not recall that I referred to the neck fragments as of bone. Could have been metal.

This can be and I think will be an embarrassment to Arlen Specter, who is already under attack in the Stone movie. He responded with articles in Penna. papers, one that I have rather long.

If Stone with all his so-called experts had had the slightest idea of the fact of the assassination they'd have used what I use in their attack on the single-bullet theory and on Specter, who fathered that bastard. (I wonder if Stone picks it up. I am sure that at the least Frank Mankiewicz will be aware of it and he is handling Stone's Washington p.r..)

As Specter knows, his colleagues and Hill employees will be aware of what I say and there is no factual and relevant refutation Specter can make.

Then, too, the FBI and Secret Service will see this letter. Normal practise in the FBI would be to prepare a memo or memos on it, beginning with the divisions of knowledge of this area of the evidence or other interest in it.

I hope some reporter asks questions about it, of Specter, of the FBI, or those former Commission lawyers still in the washington area. Of these, only recently Howard Willens was on a local station defending the Warren Report and Commission.

Perhaps other former Commission staffers will be asked by reporters in their areas.

While there is no assurance that anything will come of this, it will be read by many people in the area, some reporters, some who know Commission former employees, some lawyers and more who know none of the Commission people.

It remains to be seen whether this time anything will come of a definitive expose of the Commission and its staff, particular Specter.